Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/700,175	COSS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nikita Wells	2881	

Al di PAU L'III	10/700,175	COSS ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nikita Wells	2881
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearance All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to and MPEP 1308.	lication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS withdrawal from issue at the initiative
1. This communication is responsive to <u>"Response to Office A</u>	Action dated May 24, 2005" received	on 16 June 2005.
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-45</u> .	·	
3. $\boxtimes$ The drawings filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> are accepted by	the Examiner.	
<ul> <li>4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una)</li> <li>a) All b) Some* c) None of the:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this r	national stage application from the
Applicant has THREE MONTHS.FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mus	t be submitted.	•
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	948) attached
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🗍 to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b)  including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in the O	ffice action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the		
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT I		
		•
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<ol> <li>Interview Summary ← Paper No./Mail Date</li> </ol>	
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date		
4.   Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit		nt of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9.	
		Nikita Wells Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2881

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 1-45 are allowed.
- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The Applicant presented arguments in favor of allowance of the claims, according to the "Response to Office Action dated May 24, 2005" received June 16, 2005. The Applicant demonstrated to the Examiner's satisfaction that the 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection over the reference of Bode et al. (6,823,231 B1), or the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection over Bode et al. in view of Markle et al. (2004/0102857 A1), is not applicable to the Applicant's invention. The Examiner agrees that, with respect to independent claims 1, 9, and 15, Bode et al., Markle et al., as well as any other prior art, fail to disclose a method of performing a tuning process for an ion implant tool, on the basis of selecting a <u>fault detection model</u> for an ion implant process, wherein the tuning process is to be performed in said <u>ion implant tool based upon said at least one tool parameter</u> resulting from said tuning process. With respect to independent claim 30, Bode et al., Markle et al., as well as any other prior art, fail to disclose a method of performing a tuning process for an ion implant tool, on the basis of determining if a tool parameter resulting from said tuning process is acceptable based on a comparison of said tool parameter with a <u>collection of tuning setpoint models</u>.

The dependent claims 2-8, 10-14, 16-29, and 31-45, are allowable by virtue of their dependence upon the independent claims 1, 9, 15, and 30, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,175

Art Unit: 2881

## Conclusion

3. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nikita Wells whose telephone number is (571) 272-2484. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2477. The central fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent 5. Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nikita Wells, Primary Examiner

hilita Wells

Page 3

Art Unit 2881

June 24, 2005